What We Do

The Institute for the Study of Nature is an intellectual agora, a locus of discussion, debate, and cooperation for reconsidering the question, "What is Nature?" We seek to open a space for scholars who appreciate the value of this question to discuss and to advance their research. We also seek to restore to science essential ideas lost over the years by equipping the rising generation of scientists to ask the foundational questions too often taken for granted.



Hans Jonas, 20th-century philosopher of nature.

Some Questions about Nature

- Are natural wholes real? Do the structural principles that unify them make them more than the sum of their parts?
- Is there a reasonable sense in which natural things move toward goals?
- Do natural kinds have any basis in objective reality? Is there any way that science can operate
 without a grasp of universal properties and essences of things of a given kind?
- Is classical hierarchy of being (inanimate, plant, animal, and rational) defensible?
- How complete are the mathematical and mechanical models of nature used by modern science?
- What is the relationship between the classical and modern modes of understanding nature?
 Can the classical modes be modified, purified, and updated to complement modern knowledge?
 What do they uniquely contribute?

Institute for Study of Nature

1718 M Street, NW, #312
Washington, DC 20036-4504
+1-202-640-2720 (voice)
+1-202-640-2715 (fax)
E-mail: jkeck@isnature.org



Partial Answers

Are Not Enough

Exploring the Cosmos





Institute for the Study of Nature www.isnature.org

"Intelligent Design" had reached impasse.

Activities

presenting papers, continue the discussion. the Seminar, leading scholars and scientists, philosophy. In the Conference, which follows eral theme at the intersection of science and cussions of classic and modern texts, on a gen-Fellows," consists of four days of talks and dishave been appointed the Institute's "Summer The Seminar, attended mainly by students who mer Seminar and Conference in June at MIT. The premier event of ISN is its annual Sum-

tial series. first contribution in an important and influenbeing published in what we believe will be the The proceedings of our 2008 conference are

conferences in Europe. talks by our regular Fellows, and a series of Other events planned include independent

Long-term Goals

in an innovative, exciting, and integrated way. ence, mathematics, and philosophy of nature sive high school curriculum for teaching sciate school. We plan to develop a comprehenpact by evolving into a degree-granting gradu-The ISM believes it can achieve maximum im-



the Study of Nature Institute for

E-mail: jkeck@isnature.org Director: John W. Keck, Ph.D. www.isnature.org

> byproduct of its atoms. directed whole, but really, its "life" is a mere the duck: it appears to be a living, unified, selftruly real, then hunger is an illusion. But so is

> that neither hunger nor ducks are illusions. Our natural perceptions are enough to tell us

such as the basis of its own foundational questions, science is ill-equipped for means. Further, modern us what we know by other causes—cannot by itself tell laws and mechanical the power of mathematical

Modern science-despite

its methodologies, and the definition of nature. effectiveness, the limits of

essays, etc." page. sues addressed by the ISN, review our "Articles, pages, and, for a better idea of the range of isour website www.isnature.org. Visit the "About" classical philosophy. You can learn more from human experience, rational inquiry, and neo-Nature!" in ways that bring together science, debating fundamental questions like "What is founded to provide a forum for discussing and The Institute for the Study of Nature (ISN) was

History

arguments about Darwinian evolution and science" debates, and the contentious public agreed with him that the current "religion and They urged him to found the ISN because they of scientists, philosophers, and theologians. with the encouragement and support of a circle The ISM was founded in 2007 by Mark Ryland,

What Is Nature?

mechanical duck pictured below. treated as if human-built machines, like the became fatally confused, with natural things cal principles: the artificial and the natural great clock, fully understandable by mechanitriumph suggested that the universe was a ple interactions of otherwise inert parts. This decomposed the physical world into the simborn with Newton's mechanical physics. He The modern conception of the universe was

chanical, lacking inner purpose? things also purposeless? Are they merely meparts; we impose its only purposes. Are natural But this "whole" is really only the sum of its fact is so understandable, because we make it. Why is mechanism a fatal confusion! An arti-

ception a naïve illusion, like ascribing intendirected to preserving themselves. Is this per-The natural wholes we encounter daily appear

itself? ordering principle in parts with no unified blage of independent machine, an assemtions! Is a duck just a tion to a clock's mo-

atoms are all that's have no such need. If whereas its atoms food to sustain itself, A duck hungers for



really? machines. But are they conception of animals as inspired by the Cartesian The Duck of Vaucanson,